
FORM 6-K

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION
Washington, D.C. 20549

**Report of Foreign Private Issuer
Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16 of
the Securities Exchange Act of 1934**

Commission File Number: 1-15270

For the month of June 2018

NOMURA HOLDINGS, INC.

(Translation of registrant's name into English)

**9-1, Nihonbashi 1-chome
Chuo-ku, Tokyo 103-8645
Japan**

(Address of principal executive offices)

Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover Form 20-F or Form 40-F.

Form 20-F Form 40-F

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(1):

Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule 101(b)(7):

Information furnished on this form:

EXHIBIT

Exhibit Number

1. (English Translation) Extraordinary Report Pursuant to the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NOMURA HOLDINGS, INC.

Date: June 25, 2018

By: /s/ Hajime Ikeda
Hajime Ikeda
Senior Managing Director

1. Reason for Submission

Given that Resolutions were adopted at the 114th Annual General Meeting of Shareholders held on June 22, 2018, we hereby submit this Extraordinary Report under the provisions of Article 24-5, Paragraph 4 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act of Japan and Article 19, Paragraph 2, Item 9-2, of the Cabinet Office Ordinance on Disclosure of Corporate Information.

2. Matters Reported

- (1) Date on which meeting was held
June 22, 2018
- (2) Proposal acted upon
Proposal: Appointment of 10 Directors
Nobuyuki Koga, Koji Nagai, Shoichi Nagamatsu, Hisato Miyashita, Hiroshi Kimura, Kazuhiko Ishimura, Noriaki Shimazaki, Mari Sono, Michael Lim Choo San and Laura Simone Unger
- (3) Number of voting rights expressing an opinion for, against, or abstaining from, the proposal; requirements for the proposal to be approved; results of the resolutions

Proposal: Appointment of 10 Directors

Proposal	For	Against	Abstain	Result of the Resolutions	
				Approval Ratio (%)	Approved/Rejected
Nobuyuki Koga	19,068,609	2,796,543	4,288	86.5%	Approved
Koji Nagai	21,163,350	701,818	4,288	96.0%	Approved
Shoichi Nagamatsu	21,157,707	707,461	4,288	96.0%	Approved
Hisato Miyashita	20,793,697	1,071,460	4,288	94.4%	Approved
Hiroshi Kimura	21,764,468	100,699	4,289	98.8%	Approved
Kazuhiko Ishimura	21,689,677	175,489	4,288	98.4%	Approved
Noriaki Shimazaki	21,508,549	356,618	4,288	97.6%	Approved
Mari Sono	17,251,221	4,613,936	4,288	78.3%	Approved
Michael Lim Choo San	21,774,008	91,160	4,288	98.8%	Approved
Laura Simone Unger	21,780,237	84,931	4,288	98.8%	Approved

Notes:

1. The requirement for each resolution to be approved is as follows:
A vote in favor by a simple majority of the voting rights held by the shareholders present at a meeting attended by shareholders entitled to exercise voting rights holding in aggregate 1/3 or more of the total voting rights.
2. The method for calculating the Approval Ratio is as follows:
This is the ratio of the total number of votes in favor exercised in advance by the day prior to the meeting and those exercised by the shareholders present at the meeting that the Company was able to confirm an opinion for, to the total number of voting rights of the shareholders present at the meeting (the portion of the voting rights that were exercised in advance by the day prior to the meeting, as well as those held by the shareholders present at the meeting).
- (4) The reason why a part of the voting rights expressing an opinion for, against, or abstaining from, the proposal that were exercised by shareholders present at the meeting were not included in the calculation:
By calculating the total number of voting rights exercised in advance by the day prior to the meeting and those exercised by the shareholders present at the meeting that the Company was able to confirm an opinion for or against the proposal, it was evident that, in conformance with the Companies Act, the requirement for the Proposal to be approved had been satisfied and the resolutions were duly adopted. Therefore, the number of voting rights held by the shareholders present at the meeting, which the Company was not able to confirm an opinion for, against, or abstaining from the proposals, were not included in the calculation.

End.