FORM 6-K

U.S. SECURITIES AND EXCHANGE COMMISSION Washington, D.C. 20549

Report of Foreign Private Issuer Pursuant to Rule 13a-16 or 15d-16 of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934

Commission File Number: 1-15270

For the month of June 2023

NOMURA HOLDINGS, INC.

(Translation of registrant's name into English)

13-1, Nihonbashi 1-chome Chuo-ku, Tokyo 103-8645 Japan

(Address of principal executive offices)

F.	Indicate by check mark whether the registrant files or will file annual reports under cover Form 20-F or Form 40-					
	Form 20-F <u>X</u> Form 40-F					
	Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule (b)(1):					
	Indicate by check mark if the registrant is submitting the Form 6-K in paper as permitted by Regulation S-T Rule (b)(7):					

Information furnished on this form:

EXHIBIT

Exhibit Number
1. (English Translation) Extraordinary Report Pursuant to the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act

SIGNATURES

Pursuant to the requirements of the Securities Exchange Act of 1934, the registrant has duly caused this report to be signed on its behalf by the undersigned, thereunto duly authorized.

NOMURA HOLDINGS, INC.

By: /s/ Yoshifumi Kishida

Date: June 28, 2023

Yoshifumi Kishida Senior Managing Director

1. Reason for Submission

Given that Resolutions were adopted at the 119th Annual General Meeting of Shareholders held on June 27, 2023, we hereby submit this Extraordinary Report under the provisions of Article 24-5, Paragraph 4 of the Financial Instruments and Exchange Act of Japan and Article 19, Paragraph 2, Item 9-2, of the Cabinet Office Ordinance on Disclosure of Corporate Information.

2. Matters Reported

(1) Date on which meeting was held June 27, 2023

(2) Proposal acted upon

Proposal: Appointment of 13 Directors

Koji Nagai, Kentaro Okuda, Yutaka Nakajima, Shoji Ogawa, Noriaki Shimazaki, Kazuhiko Ishimura, Laura Simone Unger, Victor Chu, J. Christopher Giancarlo, Patricia Mosser, Takahisa Takahara, Miyuki Ishiguro and Masahiro Ishizuka

(3) Number of voting rights expressing an opinion for, against, or abstaining from, the proposal; requirements for the proposal to be approved; results of the resolutions

Proposal: Appointment of 13 Directors

D	For	Against	Abstain	Result of the Resolutions	
Proposal				Approval Ratio (%)	Approved/Rejected
Koji Nagai	17,671,457	3,613,744	440	82.6%	Approved
Kentaro Okuda	18,924,096	2,361,117	440	88.5%	Approved
Yutaka Nakajima	21,104,348	180,867	440	98.7%	Approved
Shoji Ogawa	18,885,020	2,400,173	440	88.3%	Approved
Noriaki Shimazaki	19,535,629	1,749,586	440	91.3%	Approved
Kazuhiko Ishimura	19,231,910	2,053,301	440	89.9%	Approved
Laura Simone Unger	19,626,257	1,658,927	440	91.8%	Approved
Victor Chu	19,592,399	1,692,776	440	91.6%	Approved
J. Christopher Giancarlo	19,655,217	1,629,958	440	91.9%	Approved
Patricia Mosser	19,667,975	1,617,200	440	92.0%	Approved
Takahisa Takahara	18,759,394	2,525,769	440	87.7%	Approved
Miyuki Ishiguro	21,122,747	162,427	440	98.8%	Approved
Masahiro Ishizuka	21,107,907	177,268	440	98.7%	Approved

Notes:

- 1. The requirement for each resolution to be approved is as follows:
 - A vote in favor by a simple majority of the voting rights held by the shareholders present at a meeting attended by shareholders entitled to exercise voting rights holding in aggregate 1/3 or more of the total voting rights.
- 2. The method for calculating the Approval Ratio is as follows:
 - This is the ratio of the total number of votes in favor exercised in advance by the day prior to the meeting and those exercised by the shareholders present at the meeting that the Company was able to confirm an opinion for, to the total number of voting rights of the shareholders present at the meeting (the portion of the voting rights that were exercised in advance by the day prior to the meeting, as well as those held by the shareholders present at the meeting).
- (4) The reason why a part of the voting rights expressing an opinion for, against, or abstaining from, the proposal that were exercised by shareholders present at the meeting were not included in the calculation:
 - By calculating the total number of voting rights exercised in advance by the day prior to the meeting and those exercised by the shareholders present at the meeting that the Company was able to confirm an opinion for or against the

proposal, it was evident that, in conformance with the Companies Act, the requirement for the Proposal to be approved had been satisfied and the resolutions were duly adopted. Therefore, the number of voting rights held by the shareholders present at the meeting, which the Company was not able to confirm an opinion for, against, or abstaining from the proposals, were not included in the calculation.

End.